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PREFACE

Liquid crystals are unusual materials. As their name suggests, they inhabit the grey area between liquids and solids. They have long range orientational order, typically of the unique axes of their component rod-like or plate molecules. Spatial variations of this average direction of molecular orientation are resisted by so-called curvature (Frank) elasticity. On the other hand liquid crystals can flow, albeit as anisotropic liquids.

Polymers too are unusual materials. Above the glass transition, the physics is mostly dominated by the high entropy inherent in the disorder of their component long chain molecules. Resistance to molecular shape change arises mostly from the imperative to maintain high entropy. Viscoelastic flow and rubber elasticity are macroscopic manifestations of this principle. Thus rubber, where the long molecules are linked together, also inhabits the grey region between liquids and solids. Though nominally a solid, rubber is capable of very high deformations, greater than any other type of solid. Its internal molecular motion is rapid, as in a liquid, with the resulting amorphous solid being highly extensible rather than glassy. If it were not for the few crosslinks holding the chains into a percolating network, rubber would flow under stress, as ordinary polymers and other liquids do. The bulk (compression) modulus of typical rubber is of the same order as that of all liquids, and solids, but the shear modulus is about $10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$ times smaller. Thus rubber essentially deforms as a liquid, that is by shearing at constant volume. It is a weak solid and therein lies its enormous technological importance.

This book is concerned about the phenomena arising when these two marginal materials, liquid crystals and polymers, are combined into one even more mysterious material – polymer liquid crystals. For two compelling reasons we shall concentrate on such polymers crosslinked into networks, that is, on elastomers and gels made from polymer liquid crystals:

1. Liquid crystal elastomers exhibit many entirely new effects that are not simply enhancements of native liquid crystals or polymers. We shall see their thermal phase transformations giving rise to spontaneous shape changes of many hundreds of per cents, transitions and instabilities induced by applied mechanical stress or strain, and some unusual dynamical effects. Strangest of all, we shall see elastomers under some conditions behaving entirely softly, deforming as true liquids do without the application of stress. All these new forms of elasticity have their genesis in the ambiguities between liquid and solid that are present in liquid crystals and polymers, but are only brought to light in a crosslinked rubbery network.

2. A molecular picture of rubber elasticity is now well established. Since the late 1930s its entropic basis has been understood and turns out to be as universal as, say, the ideal gas laws. The rubber shear modulus, $\mu$, is simply $n_s k_B T$ where $n_s$ counts the number of network strands per unit volume, and temperature $T$ enters for the same entropic reason it does in the gas laws. There is no mention of the

\[\mu = n_s k_B T\]
chemistry of chains or other molecular details and the picture is thus of great
generality. We call this the classical theory, to which various complexities such
as crosslink fluctuations, entanglements and nematic interactions have later been
added.

By contrast to simple polymers, which change shape only in response to external
forces, liquid crystal polymers do so spontaneously when they orientationally or-
der their monomer segments. Can one nevertheless create a picture of their rubber
elasticity of the same generality as that of classical rubber? It turns out that one
can, with the sole extra ingredient of chain shape anisotropy (a single number
directly measurable by experiment). We shall treat this anisotropy phenomeno-
logically and find we can explore it at great length. One could go into many
theoretical complexities, taking into account effects of finite chain extensibility,
entanglements and fluctuations – however, in all cases, the underlying symmetry
of spontaneously anisotropic network strands enters these approaches in the same
way and the new physical phenomena are not thereby radically influenced.

Alternatively, one could try to calculate the polymer chain anisotropy that ap-
ppears in the molecular picture of rubber elasticity. There is, however, no universal
agreement about which way to do this. A further complication is that polymer
liquid crystals can be either main chain or side chain variants, where the rod-like
elements are found respectively in, or pendant to, the polymer backbone. Nematic
and smectic phases of considerable complexity and differing symmetry arise ac-
cording to the molecular geometry. For instance side chain fluids can exist in 3
possible uniaxial nematic phases, N_I, N_{II} and N_{III}, with still further biaxial possi-
bilities.

In this book, by concentrating on Liquid Crystal Elastomers, rather than polymer
liquid crystals per se, we relegate these theoretical uncertainties in the under-
standing of polymer liquid crystals to a subsidiary role. Key physical properties
of crosslinked elastomers and gels are established without any detailed knowledge
of how chains become spontaneously elongated or flattened. When more molec-
ular knowledge is required, an adequate qualitative understanding of nematic and
smectic networks can be obtained by adopting the simplest molecular models of
polymer liquid crystals. In contrast, a treatise on polymer liquid crystals would
have to address these issues rather more directly.

These two reasons, the existence of novel physical phenomena and their relative inde-
pendence from the details of molecular interactions and ordering, explain the sequence
of arguments followed by this book. We introduce liquid crystals, polymers and rubber
elasticity at the rather basic level required for the universal description of the main topic
– Liquid Crystal Elastomers. Then we look at the new phenomena displayed by these
materials and, finally, concentrate on the analysis of key features of nematic, cholesteric
and then smectic rubbery networks.

Rubber is capable of very large extensions. Many important new phenomena of
nematic origin only occur at extensions of many tens of percents and are themselves
highly non-linear. Linear continuum theory is utterly incapable of describing such a
regime and this inadequacy is a motivation for our molecular picture of nematic rubber
elasticity. However, it is clear that in liquid crystal elastomers we have not only the Lamé elasticity of ordinary solids and the Frank curvature elasticity of liquid crystals, but also novel contributions arising from the coupling of the two. The richness and complexity of this new elasticity are such that it is worthwhile also analysing it using the powerful and general methods of continuum theory. There is a second motivation for studying continuum theory – for smectic elastomers there is not yet any underlying molecular theory and phenomenological theory is the best we can do. Because of their important technological applications, for instance in piezo- and ferroelectricity, an understanding of smectic elastomers is a vital priority. The latter chapters of our book are devoted to this, addressing the linear continuum approaches to elastomers with more complicated structure than simple uniaxial nematics. We also build a bridge between the elasticity methods of rubber and the application of continuum theory into the non-linear regime. At this point we revisit the symmetry arguments which explain why ‘soft elasticity’ is possible and why it cannot be found in classical elastic systems.

We were tempted to take ‘Solid Liquid Crystals’ as our title. This would have been apt but obscure. We hope that this book will illuminate the peculiar materials that merit this description.

Mark Warner and Eugene Terentjev
26 February 2003
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A BIRD’S EYE VIEW OF LIQUID CRYSTAL ELASTOMERS

Liquid crystal elastomers bring together, as nowhere else, three important ideas: orientational order in amorphous soft materials, responsive molecular shape and quenched topological constraints. Acting together, they create many new physical phenomena that are the subject of this book. This bird’s eye view sketches how these themes come together and thereby explains the approach of our book.

We introduce the reader to liquid crystals and to polymers since they are our building blocks. One could regard the first part of our book as a primer for an undergraduate or graduate student embarking on a study of polymer or liquid crystal physics, or on complex fluids and solids. Then elastomers are discussed both from the molecular point of view, and within continuum elasticity. We need to understand how materials respond at very large deformations for which only a molecular approach suffices. Also one needs to understand the resolution of large deformations into their component pure shears and rotations, the latter also being important in these unusual solids. Hopefully we also provide a primer for the basics of these two areas that are otherwise only found in difficult and advanced texts.

Classical liquid crystals are typically fluids of relatively stiff rod molecules with long range orientational order. The simplest ordering is nematic – where the mean ordering direction of the rods, the director \( \mathbf{n} \), is uniform. The rod-like character of the molecules changes little when they orient to form a nematic phase. Long polymer chains, with incorporated rigid anisotropic units can also order nematically and thus form liquid crystalline polymers. Now, by contrast, these molecules elongate when their component rods orient. A change of average molecular shape has thus been introduced, from spherical to spheroidal as the isotropic polymers become nematic. In the prolate spheroidal case, the long axis of the spheroid points along the nematic director \( \mathbf{n} \), Fig. 1.1.

So far we have no more than a sophisticated liquid crystal. Changes in average molecular shape induced by changes in orientational order do little to modify the properties of this new liquid crystal. Linking the polymer chains together into a gel network fixes their topology, and the melt becomes an elastic solid – a rubber. It will turn out that radical properties can now arise from this new ability to change molecular shape while in the solid state. To understand the consequences we have to consider rubber elasticity.

In rubber, monomers remain highly mobile and thus liquid-like. Thermal fluctuations move the chains as rapidly as in the melt, but only as far as their topological crosslinking constraints allow. These loose constraints make the polymeric liquid into a weak, highly extensible material. Nevertheless, rubber is a solid in that an energy input is required to change its macroscopic shape (in contrast to a liquid, which would flow in response). Equivalently, a rubber recovers its original state when external influences are
Polymers are on average spherical in the isotropic (I) state and elongate when they are cooled to the nematic (N) state. The director $\mathbf{n}$ points along the long axis of the shape spheroid. (The mesogenic rods incorporated into the polymer chain are not shown in this sketch, only the backbone is traced.)

Systems where fluctuations are limited by constraints are known in statistical mechanics as ‘quenched’ - rigidity and memory of shape stem directly from this. It is a form of imprinting found in classical elastomers and also in chiral solids, as we shall see when thinking about cholesteric elastomers.

Can topology, frozen into a mobile fluid by constraints, act to imprint liquid crystalline order into the system? The expectation based on simple networks would be ‘yes’. This question was posed, and qualitatively answered, by P-G. de Gennes in 1969. He actually asked a slightly more sophisticated question: Crosslink conventional polymers (not liquid crystalline polymers) into a network in the presence of a liquid crystalline solvent. On removal of the solvent, do the intrinsically isotropic chains remember the anisotropy pertaining at the moment of genesis of their topology? The answer for ideal chains linked in a nematic solvent is ‘no’! Intrinsically nematic polymers, linked in a nematic phase of their own making, can also elude their topological memory on heating. How this is done (and failure in the non-ideal case) is a major theme of this book.

Second, what effects follow from changing nematic order and thus molecular shape? The answer is new types of thermal- and light-induced shape changes.

The third question one can ask is: While in the liquid-crystal state, what connection between mechanical properties and nematic order does the crosslinking topology induce? The answer to this question is also remarkable and is discussed below. It leads to entirely new effects – shape change without energy cost, extreme opto-mechanical effects and rotatory-mechanical coupling. We give a preview below of these effects in the form a sketch – details and rigour have to await the later chapters of the book.

Rubber resists mechanical deformation because the network chains have maximal entropy in their natural, undeformed state. Crosslinking creates a topological relation between chains that in effect tethers them to the solid matrix they collectively make up. Macroscopic deformation then inflicts a change away from the naturally spherical average shape of each network strand, and the entropy, $S$, falls. The free energy then rises, $\Delta F = -T\Delta S > 0$. This free energy, dependent only on an entropy change itself

---

1 G. Allen saw the similarity of this question to that of crosslinking in the presence of a mechanical field, a great insight considering how monodomain liquid crystal elastomers are made today.
driven by molecular shape change, explains why polymers are sometimes thought of as ‘entropic springs’. Macroscopic changes in shape are coupled to molecular changes. In conventional rubber it is always the macroscopic that drives the molecular; the molecular conformational entropy offers the elastic resistance.

Nematic polymers suffer spontaneous shape changes associated with changing levels of nematic (orientational) order, Fig. 1.1. In monodomain nematic elastomers one now sees a reversal of influence; changes at the molecular level induce a corresponding change at the macroscopic level, that is induce mechanical strains, Fig. 1.2: a block of rubber elongates by a factor of $\lambda_m > 1$ on cooling or $1/\lambda_m < 1$ on heating. This process is perfectly reversible. Starting in the nematic state, chains become spherical on heating and lose memory of their nematic genesis. But mechanical strain must now accompany the molecular readjustment. Very large deformations are not hard to achieve, see Fig. 1.3. Provided chains are in a broad sense ideal, it turns out that chain shape can reach isotropy both for the imprinted case of de Gennes (on removal of nematic solvent) and for the more common case of elastomers formed from liquid crystalline polymers (on heating). Chains experiencing entanglement between their crosslinking points also evade any permanent record of their genesis. Many real nematic elastomers and gels in practice closely conform to these ideal models. Others are non-ideal – they retain some nematic order at high temperatures as a result of their order and topology combining
The director and thus chain shape distribution is rotated by 90° from \( \mathbf{n}_0 \) in (a) to \( \mathbf{n} \) in (b). The rubber is mechanically clamped and hence the chains in (b) that would be naturally elongated along \( \mathbf{n} \) must be compressed: the dotted spheroid in (b) is compressed to the actual solid spheroid.

with other factors such as random pinning fields and compositional fluctuations. They still show the elongations of Fig. 1.3, but residues of non-ideality are seen in the elastic effects we review below.

This extreme thermomechanical effect, and the phenomena of Figs. 1.5 and 1.7, can only be seen in monodomain, well aligned samples. Without very special precautions during fabrication, liquid crystal elastomers are always found in polydomain form, with very fine texture of director orientations. The great breakthrough in this field, developing a method of obtaining large, perfect monodomain nematic elastomers was made by Küpf er and Finkelmann in 1991.

Nematic-elastic coupling was the third question we posed and gives rise to another phenomenon. Imagine rotating the director while clamping the body so its shape does not change, Fig. 1.4. The natural, nematic spheroidal distribution when rotated by 90° to be along \( \mathbf{n} \) has a problem. Chains do not naturally fit since the clamped body, to which they are tethered, is not correspondingly elongated along \( \mathbf{n} \) to accommodate their long dimensions. Chains in fact must have been compressed to fit, at considerable entropy loss if they were very anisotropic. A rotation of 180° recovers the initial state, so the free energy must be periodic, with period \( \pi \), and turns out to be \( F = \frac{1}{2} D_1 \sin^2 \theta \) for a director rotation of \( \theta \) with respect to the body. The rotational modulus, \( D_1 \), was first given by de Gennes\(^2\) in the infinitesimal form \( \frac{1}{2} D_1 \theta^2 \). A rotation of the body and its director in Fig. 1.4(a) would lead to a gedanken intermediate state depicted by dotted lines in Fig. 1.4(b). Subsequent squeezing to get back the actual form demanded by the clamp condition (full lines) of Fig. 1.4(b) costs an energy proportional to the rubber modulus, \( \mu \), and to the square of the order, \( Q \), (since \( Q \) determines the chain shape anisotropy to be compressed away). Thus \( D_1 \sim \mu Q^2 \). An important idea emerges – rotating the director independently of the rubber matrix costs energy.

Uniform rotation of the director costs no energy in liquid nematics. It is the director gradients that suffer Frank elastic penalties, and thus long-wavelength spatial variations of the rotation angle cost vanishingly small energy. Thermal excitation of these rotations causes even monodomain nematic liquids to scatter light and to be turbid. Not so

\( ^2 \)de Gennes, in 1981, also discussed the other four moduli for an incompressible nematic elastomer in the limit of linear continuum mechanics.
monodomain nematic elastomers which are clear because even long wavelength rotations cost a finite energy $\frac{1}{2}D_1\theta^2$ and cannot be excited, see Fig. 1.5. The excitations have acquired a mass, in the language of field theory.

![Image](image.png)

**Fig. 1.5.** A strip of monodomain ‘single-crystal’ nematic rubber. It is completely transparent and highly birefringent (image: H. Finkelmann).

Local rotations are central to nematic elastomers and yield a subtle and spectacular new elastic phenomenon which we call ‘soft elasticity’. Imagine rotating the director but now *not* clamping the embedding body, in contrast to Fig. 1.4. One simple response would be to rotate the body by the same angle as the director, and this would clearly cost no energy. However, contrary to intuition, there is an infinity of other ways by mechanical deformation to accommodate the distribution of chains (as characterised by its average, the prolate spheroid) without its distortion as it rotates. Thus the entropy of the chains does not drop, in spite of macroscopic deformations, $\Delta S = 0$. Figure 1.6 illustrates the initial and final states of a 90° director rotation. They are separated by a path of states, characterised by an intermediate rotation angle $\theta$ and by a corresponding shape of the body, one of which is shown. This $\theta$-state is shown accommodating the spheroid without distorting it. A special combination of shears and elongations/compressions is required, but it turns out not very difficult to achieve!

Practically, one might instead impose one of the components of distortion (say an elongation, $\lambda$, perpendicular to the original director) and have the other components and the director rotation follow it. The result is the same – extension of a rubber with no elastic energy cost accompanied by a characteristic director rotation. The mechanical confirmation of the cartoon is shown in Fig. 1.7(a) and the director rotation in Fig. 1.7(b). The director rotation curve $\theta(\lambda)$ will be universal to all nematic elastomers, when appropriately scaled.

![Image](image.png)

**Fig. 1.6.** Chain shape distribution is rotated by 90° from $n_0$ to $n$ with an intermediate state $\theta$ shown. The rubber is not clamped, and so it deforms to accommodate the changing chain shape distribution without distorting it.
We have made liquid crystals into solids, albeit rather weak solids, by crosslinking them. Like all rubbers, they remain locally fluid-like in their molecular freedom and mobility. Paradoxically, their liquid crystallinity allows these solid liquid crystals to change shape without energy cost, that is to behave for some deformations like a liquid. Non-ideality gives a response we call ‘semi-soft’. There is now a threshold (seen to varying degrees in Fig. 1.7) before director rotation; thereafter deformation proceeds at little additional resistance until the internal rotation is complete. This stress plateau, the same singular form of the director rotation, and the relaxation of the other mechanical degrees of freedom are still qualitatively soft, in spite of a threshold.

There is a deep symmetry reason for this apparently mysterious softness that Fig. 1.6 rationalises in terms of the model of an egg-shaped chain distribution rotating in a solid that adopts new shapes to accommodate it. Ideally, nematic elastomers are rotationally invariant under separate rotations of both the reference state and of the target state into which it is deformed. If under some conditions, not necessarily the current ones, an isotropic state can be attained, then a theorem of Golubovic and Lubensky shows that in consequence soft elasticity must exist. It is a question of care with the fundamental tenet of elasticity theory, the principle of material frame indifference. We shall examine this theorem and its consequences many times in this book, including what happens when the conditions for it to hold are violated, that is when semi-softness prevails.

Elastic softness, or attempts to achieve it, pervade much of the elasticity of nematic elastomers. If clamps or boundary conditions frustrate uniform soft deformation trajectories, microstructures will evolve to allow softness with the cost of interfaces being a relatively smaller price to pay. There are similarities between this so-called ‘quasi-convexification’ and that seen in martensite and other shape-memory alloys.
Cholesteric liquid crystals have a helical director distribution. Locally they are very nearly conventional nematics since their director twist occurs typically over microns, a much longer length scale than that associated with nematic molecular ordering. They can be crosslinked to form elastomers which retain the cholesteric director distribution. Several phenomena unique to cholesterics emerge: Being locally nematic, cholesteric elastomers would like on heating and cooling to lose and recover orientational order as nematic elastomers do. However, they cannot resolve the requirement at neighbouring points to spontaneously distort by $\lambda_m$, but in different directions. Accordingly, their chains cannot forget their topologically imprinted past when they attempt to reach a totally isotropic reference state (the second de Gennes’ prediction of 1969). Thus cholesteric rubbers also cannot deform softly in response to imposed strains. Their optical and mechanical responses to imposed stress are exceedingly rich as a result. They are brightly coloured due to selective reflection and change colour as they are stretched – their photonic band structure is changing with strain. They can perform as lasers with a colour shifted by mechanical effects. Further, the effect of topological imprinting can select one and not the other handedness of molecules from a mixed solvent. Such rubbers can act as a mechanical separator of chirality – a new slant on a problem that goes back to Pasteur.

We have sketched the essentials of nematic (and cholesteric) rubber elasticity. This survey leaves out many new phenomena dealt with in later chapters, for instance electromechanical Freedericks effects, photo-elastomers that drastically change shape on illumination, rheology and viscoelasticity that crosses between soft and conventional depending upon frequency and geometry, and so on.

Smectics are the other class of liquid crystal order. They have plane-like, lamellar modulation of density in one direction (SmA), or additionally a tilt of the director away from the layer normal (SmC). Many other more complex smectic phases exist and could also be made into elastomers. As we see in our final chapter, layer spacing is hard to change, at least on the rubber elastic energy scale. Thus SmA elastomers are rubbery in the two dimensions of their layer planes, but respond as hard conventional solids in their third dimension. Such extreme mechanical anisotropy promises interesting applications. The tilted SmC liquids also exist in chiral forms which must, on symmetry grounds be ferroelectric. Their elastomers are too. Ferroelectric rubber is very special: mechanically it is soft, about $10^4$ times lower in modulus than ordinary materials because, as sketched above, its molecules are spatially localised by topological rather than energetic constraints. Distortions give polarisation changes comparable to those in ordinary ferroelectrics. But the response in terms of stress must necessarily be $10^4$ times larger than in conventional materials.

We end our preview as we started – solids created by topological constraints are soft and highly extensible. Liquid crystal elastomers share this character with their important cousins, the conventional elastomers. But their additional liquid crystalline order gives them entirely new kinds of elasticity and other unexpected phenomena.